

## Reptile Systems New Dawn UVI Flood 13W

Report by Frances M Baines

### Product Description

One New Dawn UVI Flood 13W compact lamp was supplied by [www.ReptileSystems.EU](http://www.ReptileSystems.EU), Aquarium Systems, 57400 Sarrebourg, France. (Figure 1). The lamp is a 60-LED UV-emitting LED bar, with 30 x UVB LED, 2 x UVA LED, 28 x 6500K LED. The distance recommendations are printed on the box, but there was no information leaflet with more detailed instructions inside. Instead, there were promotional samples of some vitamin supplement powders. The lamp was given the reference number BRSU1.

For testing, the lamp was mounted in a 12" ZooMed Naturalistic Terrarium Hood, designed to hold one compact fluorescent lamp. The LED unit is designed with E27 screw threads; when inserted into the hood and fully screwed into the fixture, the LED lamp face may not end up facing the front. For this reason it has a swivel function which enables the lamp to be rotated up to approximately 320° on its axis to face the front again, without unscrewing it from the fixture. This can cause a problem if the screw threads are orientated in such a way that the lamp, when fully screwed in, squarely faces the back of the hood since it cannot be rotated completely to face the front. However in this case the lamp could be swivelled adequately.

The lamp was operated on UK line voltage (nominally 220 -240v) regulated with a Variac transformer (*Carroll and Meynell CMV2E-1*) to 230 volts. The recordings were made after a one hour warm-up time in each session, with the sensor positioned at right angles to the axis of the lamp, at the midpoint of the lamp array. Meter readings were taken at one-inch and 5cm increments from the lamp surface. Spectrometer recordings were made at a standard distance of 30cm from the lamp surface.

No long-term testing has been performed.

Recordings include:


- Spectrograms (Ocean Optics Inc. USB2000+ spectrometer with UV-B fibre-optic probe with cosine adaptor)
- UV Index (UVB in the biologically active range of wavelengths) (Solarmeter 6.5R UV Index meter)
- Total UVB - 280 - 320nm (Solarmeter 6.2 broadband UVB meter)
- UVC (Solarmeter 8.0 broadband UVC meter)
- Visible light output (SkyTronic LX101 model 600.620 digital lux meter)
- Electrical consumption (Prodigit power monitor model 2000M-UK)

### Spectrum - UV plus Visible Light

The full ultraviolet and visible light (UV-VIS) spectrum recorded at 10cm, is shown in Figure 2 (below). A mid-day solar spectrum with the sun close to the zenith (Solar altitude 85.4°, location San Bartolomé, Gran Canaria, June 21st 2011 Local time 14:00h) is overlaid on the chart below (but note the different irradiance scales). This enables comparison of the spectral power distribution (SPD) of the lamp with that of natural sunlight, which has a completely continuous spectrum from a threshold around 295nm.



Fig. 1




The three individual LED spectra are clearly defined. The thirty UVB LEDs have a peak wavelength of 308nm. The two much more powerful “UVA” LEDs prove not to be UVA LEDs at all, having a peak wavelength at 402nm which reclassifies them as purple, with less than half of their waveband spreading into the UVA, from 380 – 400nm. The twenty-eight blue LEDs driving their phosphors for the white light peak at 444nm. The total visible light output is high in proportion to the UV output, when compared to the solar spectrum owing to a huge, obvious gap in the UVA wavelengths. There is a predominance of blue light, and a deficit in the red waveband.

### Spectrum - UV in more detail

Figure 3 (below) shows the UVB (280-320nm) and UVA (320 – 3400nm) ranges for this lamp in more detail.

Fig. 3



The UVB LEDs do not emit any UVC and the threshold wavelength is 285nm, with a low output below about 295nm. This threshold is at a shorter wavelength than sunlight, containing traces of non-terrestrial UVB (below 290nm) and the lamp has a far greater proportion of its total UVB below 315nm, as clearly shown in Figure1.

The LED emits only a very insignificant amount of short-wavelength UVA (320 – 350nm) and a very small amount of long-wavelength UVA (380 – 400nm), very different from sunlight in which the UVA irradiance greatly exceeds that of the total UVB. This may be an area of concern, warranting future research (see later).

The proportions of UVB: UVA: Visible light are 2.4 : 2.4 : 95.2, (irradiance in  $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ ) in sharp contrast to those of sunlight: 0.5 : 12.5 : 87.0 (data from reference spectrum for 60-degree altitude sun).

The effect of the almost complete lack of UVA, with the deficit from about 330 to 385nm, on colour perception of reptiles is unknown. These wavelengths are difficult to supply adequately with any conventional lighting; metal halides are the most successful source for reptile-visible light including long-wavelength UVA. However, UVA LED do exist for mid- to long-wavelength UVA. It is difficult to understand why they were not provided in this array, and why purple LEDs, described as UVA, were used instead.


## Spectral Analysis - photobiologically active UV (wavelengths from 270 - 350nm)

Figure 4 shows the relative spectral power distribution of the sun and the lamp, with the peak irradiance between 270nm and 350nm scaled to 100%, to enable comparison. Overlaid are the Pre-Vitamin D3 Action Spectrum (CIE 174:2006) and an action spectrum for DNA damage (adapted from Setlow 1974). The action spectra for other damaging effects of UV radiation, such as photo-kerato-conjunctivitis, are similar to this one. The extent to which each UV spectrum falls under each action spectrum determines the risks and benefits.

The natural system, as one might expect, is virtually perfectly balanced to minimize risk (very little of the solar spectrum falls under the curve for DNA damage) whilst maximizing benefit (a reasonable amount is within the vitamin D3 action spectrum, and the higher irradiance in wavelengths  $>300\text{nm}$  compensates for their lower synthetic potential). From this chart, it can be seen that:

- The lamp does not emit hazardous UVC, but it does emit a small proportion of UVB in the non-terrestrial UVB wavelengths (below 290nm).
- A substantial proportion of its output is below 300nm. The risk of DNA damage is therefore greater than for natural sunlight.
- Compared to sunlight, a far greater proportion of the total emission in this range is between 300 – 320nm, hence much greater photobiological activity is to be expected. There is a significant risk of “sunburn” and photo-kerato-conjunctivitis following over-exposure.
- There is significant UVB in the wavelengths which enable vitamin D3 synthesis in skin.
- The proportion of UVA from 320 - 335nm, important for natural prevention of excessive D3 synthesis, is very low. This may result in a significant reduction in the ability of the light to produce a natural balance of photoproducts in the skin, favouring synthesis of pre-Vitamin D3 over lumisterol, tachysterol and 7-DHC, and also restricting the breakdown of excess vitamin D3 into inert substances including suprasterols. This is likely to result in a higher vitamin D3 yield than would be predicted by the UV Index,

**Fig. 4. Spectral power distribution of the sun and UVB lamp in relation to the action spectra for the conversion of 7DHC to pre-vitamin D3, and for DNA damage**





which could in theory lead to oversupply of vitamin D3 and possibly an eventual hypervitaminosis. To determine whether this is a risk will require long-term monitoring of serum vitamin D3 and 25(OH)D3 levels in reptiles using these lamps under what are currently considered “natural” UVI ranges. For a fuller discussion, please see the Discussion.


## Colorimetry

Colour analysis performed on the spectral data gave the results shown below (Figure 5). The colour analysis chart provides an estimate of the Colour Rendering Index (CRI) and Corrected Colour Temperature (CCT) for the lamp. The colour of the light is not quite close enough to the Planckian locus to be within the range required for accurate calculation of the Colour Rendering Index (CRI) and Corrected Colour Temperature (CCT) by the spectrometer software. This is indicated by the entry:  $DC < 5.4 \times 10^{-3} = \text{FALSE}$ . Even so, the software provides an estimate based upon the data provided. According to this calculation, the lamp has a moderately good colour rendering index (CRI 86.1), and almost all colours are rendered well, except for strong red (as indicated by CRI R9), since red light is not well represented in the spectrum of “white” LEDs which use a phosphor, as do these.

The colour temperature is estimated to be 7,963K, which is slightly more “blue” than daylight as seen by the human eye. There is no formula yet for calculating how these lamps render colour to a reptile or bird’s eye, however.

<b>Fig. 5: Colorimetry</b>	Reptile Systems New Dawn UVI (BRSU1)
<b>CRI Ra</b>	<b>86.1</b>
CRI R1	91.6
CRI R2	86.7
CRI R3	80.5
CRI R4	86.6
CRI R5	92.7
CRI R6	81.3
CRI R7	85.2
CRI R8	84.2
CRI R9	50.1
CRI R10	67.2
CRI R11	90.1
CRI R12	71.4
CRI R13	88.4
CRI R14	88.7
CRI R15	90
CRI DC	1.40E-02
<b>DC &lt; 5.4E-3</b>	<b>FALSE</b>
<b>CCT</b>	<b>7,963K</b>

The spectrometer software also creates a Chromaticity Chart for each spectrum (Fig.6, right). The chromaticity coordinates are given by the dark blue circle; the arc represents the Planckian locus (the chromaticity coordinates of a perfect ‘black body’ radiator at all temperatures). The co-ordinates are close to the arc, resulting in the high CRI, and the “blue” higher colour temperature is also visualised. However, these colours are only relevant to the human eye. The colour space of reptilian vision is very different, since it includes UVA. It must not be assumed that this light looks white to a reptile.



## Broadband Meter Readings

The “quality” of its light, as indicated by its spectrum, is only one factor in predicting the usefulness of a lamp. The “quantity” of UVB must also be assessed. Therefore the intensity of the UV at different distances must be measured. This is done using broadband UV meters.

### UV Index Recordings

The spectral response of this meter is similar to the action spectrum for vitamin D3 synthesis, and so readings from this meter are normally used to estimate the potential of the lamp to enable vitamin D3 synthesis. Whether this is applicable to these LEDs is a subject for discussion (see later). Recordings of the output of the lamp made with the Solarmeter 6.5R UV Index meter, are shown in Figure 7 (below). A standard mesh screen top such as those used with ZooMed and ExoTerra terrariums block 35% of the UVB and visible light. An estimate of the resulting UVI is also included below.


Fig. 7. UV Index (Solarmeter 6.5 readings)												
	Distance from lamp surface (cm)											
	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60
New Dawn UVI Flood (BRSU1)	47.3	15.3	7.2	4.1	2.7	1.9	1.5	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.5
Estimated UVI beneath 35% mesh screen top	30.7	9.9	4.7	2.7	1.8	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3

These readings suggest that *as long as the use of UV Index is a safe guide*, these lamps can be used to provide UVB to species with low requirements (e.g. Ferguson Zone 1, blue shading) at distances of 45cm or more (40cm if above a mesh screen); occasional baskers (Ferguson Zone 2, green shading) in a basking zone 25 - 40cm distance with a gradient to zero into shade, and full sun baskers (Ferguson Zones 3 and 4, yellow shading) in a narrow basking zone only 20cm below the lamp, with a gradient to zero into shade. Even the most sun-tolerant reptiles should not have access closer than 15cm even with a mesh screen fitted, as the UVI becomes too strong for safety at close range.

Figure 8 (below) charts the UV Index readings from the same lamp using imperial measurements (inches).

Fig. 8. UV Index (Solarmeter 6.5 readings)												
	Distance from lamp surface (inches)											
	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24
New Dawn UVI Flood (BRSU1)	45.7	14.8	7.0	3.9	2.6	1.9	1.4	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.5
Estimated UVI beneath 35% mesh screen top	29.7	9.6	4.6	2.5	1.7	1.2	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3

Figure 9 (below) shows the UV Index with increasing distance in graphical form. The lamp has a very steep UV gradient showing an exponential decline with distance.



### Comparison with figures published by Reptile Systems

The lamp box has a coloured graphic printed on the side, giving recommended distances for Ferguson Zone categories and the expected UVI at those distances. These are cited in the table below (Fig. 10.) along with the widely-recommended UVI ranges for the Ferguson Zones, and the readings measured in this study at the distances stated.

**Fig. 10. Reptile Systems recommendations in comparison with Ferguson Zone ranges and UVI measurements from this trial**

Ferguson Zone	Typical reptile in Zone	Established Ferguson Zone ranges for max UVI in basking zone	Reptile Systems Recommended Distances:	Reptile Systems published UVI ranges	Measured UV at those distances
Do Not Use		>UVI 8.0	<20cm	-	UVI 47.3 – 7.2
4	Mid-day sun baskers	UVI 7.0 – 4.5	20cm (8 ins)	UVI 5.1	UVI 4.1
3	Sun-baskers	UVI 7.0 – 3.0	20 - 30cm (8 - 12 ins)	UVI 5.1 – 3.3	UVI 4.1 – 1.9
2	Occasional baskers	UVI 3.0 – 1.0	30 - 50cm (12 - 20 ins)	UVI 3.3 – 1.3	UVI 1.9 – 0.7
1	Full shade dwellers	UVI 1.0 – 0.5	50 - 80cm (20 – 32 ins)	UVI 1.3– 0.5	UVI 0.7 – 0.2

The ranges published by Reptile Systems as to be expected from the lamps match well with the established “Ferguson Zone” figures, which are provided as an aid to lamp placement for different species. The measured UVI at the suggested distances is within, or slightly lower than, these values for maximum UVI in the basking area, for each Ferguson Zone. However, in view of the theoretical risk of hypervitaminosis D developing through use of these lamps, it is perhaps a beneficial feature, since those following the printed instructions rather than actual UVI measurements taken with a UV Index meter will be giving their animals a lower “dose”.



## Iso-Irradiance Chart


Iso-irradiance charts give a clear picture of the UVB gradient in terms of the width of the beam across the basking zone. Figure 11 (right) was plotted for the Reptile Systems lamp after 2 hours of use.

The individual UVB LEDs have narrow beams which overlap and create a single wide beam with a symmetrical pattern.

Close to the lamp, the UVI increases sharply over very small distances, making its use for reptiles with higher UV requirements very difficult since small changes in position will have large effects upon the amount of UV received.

NB: This chart should not be used as a guide to lamp placement while uncertainties remain regarding the use of the UV Index to predict vitamin D3 synthesis from these lamps.

Fig. 11



## Total UVB - 280 - 320nm

For some years now, measurement of the total UVB range (in  $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ ) using another broadband meter, the Solarmeter 6.2, has become popular. For this reason, these measurements are routinely included in all reports.

The total UVB output of the lamp is shown in the table below (Figure 12).

<b>Fig. 12. Total UVB <math>\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2</math> (Solarmeter 6.2 readings)</b>												
	<i>Distance from lamp surface (cm)</i>											
	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60
<b>New Dawn UVI Flood (BRSU1)</b>	439	145	69	40	26	19	15	12	10	8	7	6

## Relative Photobiological Activity

A simple, “rough-and-ready” estimation of the photobiological activity of the light emitted by a lamp can be made by comparing its total UVB output with that of natural sunlight when the UV Index of both is the same. It is only a crude estimation because broadband meters have a fixed sensor response affected by differing spectral power distributions. Moreover, different batches of Solarmeters (of either the 6.2 or 6.5) have slightly different spectral responses, so the actual ratios will vary between different Solarmeter pairs. However, this estimation has proven invaluable in the field, for detecting lamps with a dangerously high proportion of their output in the very low UVB wavelengths. This “Solarmeter 6.2 UVB : 6.5 UVI ratio” is unitless.

Paired readings with the current author’s two meters, taken in sunlight across a wide range of solar altitudes and weather conditions in the UK, USA and Australia give a UVB : UVI ratio of between 35 : 1 and 60 : 1, depending upon solar altitude and degree of cloud cover. The higher the sun is in the sky, the greater is the proportion of short-wavelength UVB, and hence the lower the ratio.

When the solar UV Index is 3.5, the ratio is approximately 50 : 1; when it is 13.0, the ratio is 35 : 1. These figures are within the normal range for tropical sunlight at 8:30am and noon, respectively.

The following result was obtained by regression analysis of paired readings across all measured distances with these same two meters:

**Reptile Systems New Dawn UVI Flood (BRSU1) UVB : UVI = 9.3 : 1**

This result is what would be expected, given the spectral analysis of the lamp. The ratio is far lower than seen from mid-day tropical sunlight, confirming that the light from the lamp has a much greater proportion of its UVB in the shorter UVB wavelengths than an overhead mid-day tropical sun. In simple terms, these lamps emit extremely “strong” UVB and if they are used, there is a risk of over-exposure.

Lamps with UVB:UVI ratios less than 12:1 which also emit shorter wavelengths than sunlight (i.e., below 290nm) have in the past caused photo-kerato-conjunctivitis and “sunburn” to skin. These LED spectra are new to this field and as yet, no long-term studies on their biological effects have been carried out. If used, it would be advisable to take great care to avoid over-exposure, and to observe your animals carefully for any problems.

## Visible light output

Recordings taken with the SkyTronic LX101 model 600.620 digital lux meter from this lamp are shown in Figure 13 (below)

<b>Fig. 13. Illuminance (lux)</b>												
	<i>Distance from lamp surface (cm)</i>											
	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60
<b>New Dawn UVI Flood (BRSU1)</b>	33,000	11,530	5,550	3,300	2,200	1,620	1,220	950	786	647	549	460

For comparison, direct solar readings only five minutes after sunrise reach 3,000 – 5,000 lux. In clear weather, mid-day direct solar readings of 120,000 to 150,000 lux are often seen. This LED lamp has a good visible light output, but even so, it is obvious that alone, the visible light from the lamp (e.g. 1,620 lux at 30cm) is not sufficient to make it the sole source of visible light for any basking species, which should also be provided with a brighter lamp with a continuous spectrum, creating a “beam of sunlight”, in the basking zone. This might be supplied by a halogen “basking lamp” – which will be necessary in any case, since the lamp is not emitting short-wavelength infrared (IR-A). Additional bright visible light from either a metal halide or a stronger “white” LED floodlight aimed at the basking zone would benefit many species.

## UVC

The Solarmeter 8.0 broadband UVC radiometer measuring the UVC range (240 – 280nm), with filtering for extraneous UVA and UVB, gave zero readings at all distances. This is not surprising since UV LEDs have very restricted wavebands, and no UVC LEDs were used.

## Electrical consumption

The electrical consumption of the lamp was measured at the time of testing, using a Prodigit power monitor (model 2000M-UK) with line voltage set at 230V.

The lamp was operating at 8 Watts (9 VA, pf 0.98) drawing 0.04 amps at 230 volts. The power factor of nearly 1.0 means that the voltage and current waveforms are almost perfectly in phase. The apparent power is 9 watts, a very good result for a lamp that is nominally 13 watts.

## Discussion

### LEDs and Vitamin D synthesis: possible risks

The most important feature of any lamp used in animal husbandry must be that if used according to instructions, it is safe to put over an animal – safe for eyes, safe for skin, and for general long-term health. The use of LED lighting itself is new to reptile husbandry, and yet it is widely replacing human lighting everywhere, from street lamps to desk lamps. The increased blue component is known to affect circadian rhythms in humans and other animals owing to its action on the brain via non-visual perception; however, since its use in reptile husbandry is largely restricted to daytime lighting, this is not normally considered to be a significant problem for the animals.

The situation regarding the use of UV LEDs is very different. The UV LEDs currently available all have very short bandwidth – typically no more than about 20nm – and have a strong peak wavelength which gives a LED its name (eg. 308nm LED, 402nm LED.) Reliable UV LEDs with good longevity are only available in a small number of nominal wavelengths, and most are also only available with a low output, necessitating multiple diodes of the same wavelength. This makes it very difficult to recreate the sun’s natural spectrum in the UV range, which would require juxtaposing a range of LEDs of steadily increasing wavelength, since such large numbers would be unviable; and at present, it is actually impossible to recreate anything similar to sunlight in the UVA range owing to an absence of suitable LEDs altogether in the shorter UVA wavelengths.

The solution tried here by Reptile Systems is to use just one 308nm LED for the UVB, with no UVA LED at all.

This 308nm LED has a bandwidth between 385nm and 335nm. The irradiance below 295nm is un-natural and hazardous in itself. But above 308nm, there is no resemblance to sunlight at all; instead of increasing irradiance with increasing wavelength, the UVB falls away by 325nm and there is no further UV until around 380nm, on the threshold of human vision.

Although the UV in natural sunlight, in species-appropriate levels, has many benefits to reptiles *per se*, including skin disinfection and immune modulation, the most well-known benefit is vitamin D3 synthesis. To understand why this lack of longer-wavelength UVB and shorter-wavelength UVA is a problem, it’s important to understand a complex phenomenon: the way vitamin D3 synthesis occurs and why, in natural sunlight, it is a self-regulating process and excess, which could cause hypervitaminosis, is never produced.

It has long been known that that the shorter wavelengths in the UVB range are the most effective in synthesising vitamin D3 from the cholesterol, 7-DHC, in the skin. Wavelengths between 295nm and 315nm are required. The UVB converts the 7-DHC into pre-D3. Warmth (e.g. infrared from the sunlight) then converts pre-D3 to vitamin D3. Vitamin D3-binding protein (DBP) attaches to the vitamin D3 molecule and the pair are carried into the bloodstream.

But that's a great simplification of the process, because all the wavelengths between 295nm (in the UVB) and 335nm (in the UVA), act upon 7-DHC. It's not just pre-D3 that's created. This was elucidated in a key paper by MacLaughlin *et al.* (1982). Vitamin D3 synthesis is a multi-stage process involving a "molecular dance". Four photoproducts form an equilibrium, the amounts of each depending on the nature of the UV spectrum reaching the skin. Only wavelengths below 320nm – and especially those below 310nm – transform molecules of 7-DHC into preD3. However, all wavelengths right up to 335nm can transform 7-DHC into two other photoproducts, lumisterol and tachysterol – and can also recycle all four of these molecules, one into another and back again. This happens continuously in daylight and sunlight. At the end of the day, the skin will hold a mixture of all these compounds: 7-DHC, lumisterol, tachysterol and pre-D3. The warmth will steadily convert much of the preD3 to D3 which will be removed. The rest remain, to become part of the "dance" again – although it is now known that these molecules also have some biological activity themselves, and some are converted by enzymes into other vitamin D metabolites.

So there is a "brake", a "buffer" in the system, and it is a powerful one, because there is far more UV above 320nm than below it, and so lumisterol and tachysterol will always be formed in sunlight to keep things under control. If the spectrum is sun-like, the amount of preD3 in the skin rarely exceeds the amount that can be safely taken into the body. This is why those longer wavelengths (320 -335nm) are so valuable.

There is even a "back-up" process to remove any excess vitamin D3 if too much of this starts to accumulate for the DBP to take it away. This was first identified by Webb *et al.* (1989) who found that wavelengths up to 330nm would degrade vitamin D3 remaining in skin, breaking it down into inert metabolites - 5,6-transvitamin D3, suprasterol I, and suprasterol II. Another "brake" in the system, preventing over-production of vitamin D3.

So for the natural self-limiting process to occur, preventing excess and potentially damaging levels of vitamin D3 formation, a full spectrum from short-wavelength UVB around 295nm, right up to UVA around 335nm is needed. **These lamps do not provide this.**

In human medicine, UVB lamps have been designed to enable rapid, strong vitamin D3 synthesis, with the shortest exposure time possible, for treatment of deficiency in a clinical setting. If a lamp contains only the shorter wavelengths, synthesis is sustained and the "brake", the "buffer" is severely weakened because of the absence of all those longer wavelengths. These lamps can produce astonishing yields of vitamin D3 with very low output. Studies with human patients demonstrating enormous increases in vitamin D3 following short exposures to UVB LEDs with similar spectra, emitting predominantly short-wavelength UVB, confirm the apparent loss of much of the normal "buffering". Similar results have been seen in other studies conducted with mammal skin. See: Barnkob *et al.* 2016; Morita *et al.* 2016; Kalajian *et al.* 2017; Veronikis *et al.* 2020, and Lin *et al.* 2021.

Of course, for treatment regimes, this is desirable. But reptile UVB lamps are used to create the effect of natural full spectrum sunlight in the vivarium, with modest levels of UV offered in a basking zone along with sources of visible light and infrared, provided for full daylight hours (typically 10 – 12 hours per day). Lamps with spectra in the UVB and short-wavelength UVA range which are similar to sunlight should not cause overproduction of vitamin D3, as the "buffering" will be maintained. The UV Index, a measure of the photoreactivity of sunlight on human skin, has been developed as a useful guide to suitable, "natural" UV ranges for creating safe basking zones. For example, UVI 4.0 in the basking zone is often suggested as appropriate for bearded dragons, following research on wild, free-living animals in Australia (Howard, 2019) demonstrating UVI 4.0 as a preferred exposure level.

The UV Index was designed to measure the effects of a natural solar spectrum, not one only containing wavelengths driving vitamin D synthesis with "no buffer". An early trial with UVB LEDs conducted using a ZooMed prototype (Cusack *et al.* 2017) demonstrated that a UVI average 0.24 (extremely low) created high serum 25(OH)D3 levels in bearded dragons, whereas, unsurprisingly, a traditional compact UVB lamp with a UVI average 0.92 (nearly 4 times higher) did not raise serum 25(OH)D3 levels at all, over the test period of 11 months.

This study in particular is alarming, since a UVI 0.24 would not be expected to enable any increase in serum 25(OH)D3 levels in bearded dragons at all! The risk of hazardous uncontrolled vitamin D3 synthesis from these lamps under apparently modest UVI cannot be ignored; and whether the UV Index can be used as a measure of vitamin D3 synthetic ability is in serious doubt with these very un-natural UVB spectra.

No long-term studies of blood levels of vitamin D3 have yet been conducted with these lamps, either with animals maintained under UVI levels as recommended by Reptile Systems or as indicated by the Ferguson Zones (See: Baines *et al.* 2016). For sun-basking species these UVI recommendations are far higher than used in the trials conducted by Cusack *et al.* The question that remains unanswered is: will these lamps cause excessive synthesis and even hypervitaminosis D? In theory, they certainly should!

It is essential that properly controlled trials are set up, with significant numbers of animals and preferably including several different species. These must include blood tests for 25(OH)D3 measurements before, during and after long-term daily lamp use, using the LC-MS/MS chromatographic method, not an immunoassay as those appear to be very inaccurate with reptile bloods owing to cross reactivity with different vitamin D metabolites, DBP and other factors (Hurst *et al.* 2020). Other measurements should include serum calcium, ionised calcium, vitamin D3, parathyroid hormone and 1,25(OH)D3 to assess calcium metabolism, since hypercalcaemia is the primary diagnostic feature of hypervitaminosis D as well as the cause of its toxicity. Unfortunately, the symptoms of hypercalcaemia are mild, insidious and non-specific, variously described as lethargy, anorexia, increased thirst, muscle weakness and lameness. In addition, high serum calcium levels are normal in female reptiles during folliculogenesis, which may confuse the issue. Mobilisation of calcium from bone results from increased oestrogen activity and calcium levels return to normal after egg laying.

### **UVB Overexposure**

When any artificial source of UVB with unknown potential is used, animals should be monitored regularly for any sign of UVB damage to skin and eyes, since this is a symptom of acute over-exposure to high UVB. The cornea (or spectacle of snakes and geckos) is usually affected first. Photo-kerato-conjunctivitis presents as an opacity or lesion on one or both corneas, causing intense pain. Affected animals will become immobile and depressed. Those with eyelids will keep the eyes permanently closed to reduce the pain. The eyelids often become swollen and their skin may appear burned. Fortunately, removing the UVB source enables rapid healing in most cases. More severe overexposure causes UV radiation burns to the skin of the rest of the body as well. Milder burns resemble dysecdysis; more severe damage will form blisters and layers of dead skin which may slough. (See: Gardiner *et al.* 2009.)

### **Lamp Longevity**

This trial was carried out on new lamps with no measurement of decay in UVB output and can give no indication of the useful lifespan of these lamps. Long-term trials are required. Single-wavelength LEDs which do not succumb to overheating and are not subjected to abnormal electrical load may have very little further decay over the course of their lifetime, which depends upon the manufacturer's specifications. In this case, Reptile Systems claim a lifespan of "up to 5 years, with a 2-year warranty" for this lamp.

"White" LEDs utilise a blue LED to activate a phosphor for the rest of the spectrum; this can degrade slowly, resulting in a reduction in light output. However, it is possible that their lifespan exceeds that of the UVB LEDs. Unfortunately, because the UVB is invisible to us, it will not be possible to tell, without a UV meter, if or when the UVB diodes fail. It is possible that their failure will not cut off the power supply to the rest of the lamp, in which case the lamp may appear no different to the human eye, but be emitting no UVB.

### **Looking to the Future**

In my opinion this lamp needs substantial improvement before it can replace high quality UVB fluorescent tubes in reptile husbandry. The threshold wavelength needs raising to around 295nm and a full range of UVA wavelengths provided. Longer-wavelength UVA LEDs exist, and have been used in, for example, the recently-launched ZooMed UVB/LED bar.

However, at present, my research suggests that no commercially available LEDs exist with a stable, suitable irradiance to fill in the "missing" wavelengths in that short-wavelength UVA region between 320nm and about 340nm. But there is no doubt that in time they will be developed, and a way found to create a much more "sunlike" spectrum. With the inevitable phasing-out of lamps using mercury, and increasing concern with improving electrical efficiency, fluorescent tubes will eventually become obsolete and it seems likely that LEDs will take their place.

Should these short-wavelength UVA LEDs be developed, much better "full spectrum" LED lamps could be developed and the future could be bright in more ways than one.

### **Author's note.**

Individual lamps will vary in their UVB output, depending upon their original specifications and upon their age, the quality of the electrical supply, external temperature and doubtless, other factors. Only one lamp has been tested. To be certain that this is typical of its kind would require a much larger sample to be tested.

Because there will inevitably be differences between individual lamps, the charts for the lamp tested in this report should not be relied upon as an accurate guide to the exact output of all lamps of this type. Comments in this report reflect my personal opinions only.



## References

- Baines, F., Chattell, J., Dale, J., Garrick, D., Gill, I., Goetz, M., Skelton, T. and Swatman, M. 2016. How much UV-B does my reptile need? The UV-Tool, a guide to the selection of UV lighting for reptiles and amphibians in captivity. *Journal of Zoo and Aquarium Research* 4(1): 42 - 63
- Barnkob, L.L., Argyraki, A., Petersen, P.M. and Jakobsen, J., 2016. Investigation of the effect of UV-LED exposure conditions on the production of vitamin D in pig skin. *Food chemistry*, 212, pp.386-391.
- Cusack, L., Rivera, S., Lock, B., Benboe, D., Brothers, D. and Divers, S., 2017. Effects of a light-emitting diode on the production of cholecalciferol and associated blood parameters in the bearded dragon (*Pogona vitticeps*). *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine*, 48(4), pp.1120-1126.
- Gardiner DW, Baines FM, Pandher K. 2009. Photodermatitis and photokeratoconjunctivitis in a ball python (*python regius*) and a blue-tongue skink (*tiliqua spp.*). *J Zoo Wild Med*, 40(4):757-766
- Hurst, E.A., Homer, N.Z. and Mellanby, R.J., 2020. Vitamin D metabolism and profiling in veterinary species. *Metabolites*, 10(9), p.371.
- Kalajian, T.A., Aldoukhi, A., Veronikis, A.J., Persons, K. and Holick, M.F., 2017. Ultraviolet b light emitting diodes (leds) are more efficient and effective in producing vitamin D 3 in human skin compared to natural sunlight. *Scientific reports*, 7(1), pp.1-8.
- Lin, M.Y., Lim, L.M., Tsai, S.P., Jian, F.X., Hwang, S.J., Lin, Y.H. and Chiu, Y.W., 2021. Low dose ultraviolet B irradiation at 308 nm with light-emitting diode device effectively increases serum levels of 25 (OH) D. *Scientific reports*, 11(1), pp.1-9.
- MacLaughlin, J.A., R.R. Anderson, M.F.Holick. 1982. Spectral character of sunlight modulates photosynthesis of previtamin D3 and its photoisomers in human skin. *Science* 216(4549):1001-1003.
- Morita, D., Nishida, Y., Higuchi, Y., Seki, T., Ikuta, K., Asano, H. and Ishiguro, N., 2016. Short-range ultraviolet irradiation with LED device effectively increases serum levels of 25 (OH) D. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*, 164, pp.256-263.
- Veronikis, A.J., Cevik, M.B., Allen, R.H., Shirvani, A., Sun, A., Persons, K.S. and Holick, M.F., 2020. Evaluation of a ultraviolet B light emitting diode (LED) for producing vitamin D3 in human skin. *Anticancer research*, 40(2), pp.719-722.
- Webb, A.R., DeCosta, B.R. & Holick, M.F. 1989 Sunlight regulates the cutaneous production of vitamin D3 by causing its photodegradation. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 68:882-887.

*Frances Baines 24/10/2021*  
This report © 2021 Frances M Baines